	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT		
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
А	PLAN REVIEW FACTORS		
A1.	The plan policies still reflect current national planning policy requirements. PROMPT: As set out above in the introductory text, in providing your answer to this statement consider if the policies in your plan still meet the 'content' requirements of the current NPPF, PPG, Written Ministerial Statements and the National Model Design Code (completing Part 2 of the toolkit will help you determine the extent to which the policies in your plan accord with relevant key requirements in national policy).	Agree	 Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence): The current Local Plan was adopted under the 2012 version of the NPPF. Since then the NPPF has been updated several times with revisions made in 2018, 2019 and 2021. It is considered that the Local Plan remains in compliance with the 2021 NPPF. There are new national policy requirements which are not directly addressed in the Adopted Local Plan. Theses relate to: the need to be explicit about which local plan policies are strategic and non-strategic i.e. strategic policies are those with which neighbourhood plans must be in general conformity. (Whilst not explicit, Chapter 4: Spatial Strategy of the Local Plan contains the relevant strategic policies that Neighbourhood Plans need to comply with) the revised definition of affordable housing including the requirement for First Homes. (Local Plan affordable housing policy does not include this new definition, however the NPPF is a material consideration in decision making and is being applied appropriately by the Council). the implications of the Environment Act and requirements for biodiversity net gain. (we are still awaiting regulations and the preparation of the Local Nature Recovery Strategy which will give a clear steer to what is needed from a plan review. Also the requirement for BNG will apply to planning applications irrespective of the Local Plan) the introduction of the new National Design Guide and use of local design codes to support beauty and placemaking. An update of the Local Plan would provide the opportunity to bring it more fully into line with these new requirements in the 2021 NPPF and any subsequent Framework revisions. However, these factors are not sufficient in their own right or cumulatively to necessitate an early review of the Plan.
A2.	There has not been a <u>significant</u> change in local housing need numbers from that specified in	Agree	Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): The standard method for calculating housing need was first introduced in the revised NPPF (July 2018) after the Local Plan had been submitted for examination. The latest local housing need figure, using the standard method calculation, is 228 dwellings per annum (using the 2022 affordability ratio published in March 2023).

Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
your plan (accepting there will be some degree of flux). PROMPT:		The adopted Local Plan target is 6,600 dwellings (2014-2034) or 330 dwellings per annum. This target is a 'policy-on' figure that reflects the Plan's 'regeneration' scenario; and takes account of the potential for higher than baseline job growth in the district in line with the Council's and wider LEPs' growth strategies.
Look at whether your local housing need figure, using the standard methodology as a starting point, has gone up significantly (with the measure of significance based on a comparison with the housing requirement set out in your adopted local plan).		The 2017 SHMA used to inform the Adopted Local Plan also included a demographic need of 248 dwellings per annum, which was uplifted to 273 dwellings per annum to take account of affordability. The latter accounted for affordability and market factors alone and is therefore most comparable to the local housing need figure calculated by the standard method. The latest baseline local housing need figure calculated using the standard method is below the adopted Local Plan requirement. Furthermore, there is no indication that there is an issue with current delivery of a higher than standard method generated local housing need. For example, delivery in 2022/23 was triple the standard method generated local housing need (776dw delivery vs 228dw need). Delivery rates have been higher than expected, reducing the allocated supply much earlier than was anticipated (see supply matters below).
Consider whether your local housing need figure has gone down significantly (with the measure of significance based on a comparison with the housing requirement set out in your adopted local plan). You will need to consider if there is robust evidence to demonstrate that your current housing requirement is deliverable in terms of market capacity or if it supports, for example, growth strategies such as Housing Deals, new strategic infrastructure investment or formal agreements to meet unmet		On this basis it is not considered that there has been significant change in local housing need in the district.

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT				
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement		
	need from neighbouring authority areas.				
АЗ.	You have a 5-year supply of housing land PROMPT: Review your 5-year housing land supply in accordance with national guidance including planning practice guidance and the Housing Delivery Test measurement rule book.	Agree	Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): The 2023 Five Year Housing Land Supply Statement published in July 2023 shows a housing land supply of 5.7 years against the Local Plan housing target of 330 dwellings per annum. However, the updated housing trajectory for the remainder of the plan period in the Draft 2023 AMR predicts that future housing land supply will fall just below 5 years by 1 st April 2025 (if current sites with planning permission continue to be built-out and no new sites are introduced). This will be closely monitored through the annual monitoring.		

	PAS LO	CAL PLAN I	ROUTE MAPPER TO	OLKIT PART 1: L	OCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSME	NT
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the	local plan meets	this requirement	
	You are meeting housing delivery targets. PROMPT: Use the results of your most recent Housing Delivery Test,	Agree	see table below. This shows that althou period exceed the Loc	ugh annual housing o al Housin <mark>g Nee</mark> d Fig	the Housing Delivery Test (HDT) in Jar completions fell short in 2018/19, cun ure by 429 dwellings. This means the rith regards to the delivery of housing.	nulative figures over the three-year Council achieved 165% in the HDT
	and if possible, try and forecast the outcome of future Housing			Completions	HDT housing need figure	Under/Oversupply
	Delivery Test findings. Consider whether these have/are likely to		2018/19	189	266	-77
	trigger the requirement for the development of an action plan		2019/20	436	227	+209
A4.	or trigger the presumption in favour of sustainable		2020/21	465	168	+297
	development. Consider the reasons for this and whether		TOTAL	1,090	661	+429
	you need to review the site allocations that your plan is reliant upon. In doing so you need to make a judgement as to whether updating your local plan will support delivery or whether there are other actions needed which are not dependent on changes to the local plan.		high numbers of net h outstrip the local hous	Dusing Delivery Test lousing completions sing need figure. Add	results have not been published by th over the last few years we expect the ditional years are likely to be higher to ocal housing need figure, which is lowe	delivery of housing to significantly bo, because the HDT is calculated

PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT					SSMENT
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan	meets this requirement	
	Your plan policies are on track to deliver other plan objectives including any (i) affordable housing targets, (ii) specialist housing, (iii) self and custom build homes, (iv) commercial floorspace/jobs targets over the remaining plan period. PROMPT: Use (or update) your Authority	Agree	the district is 172 dwellings per ye However, the SHMA update ackn through the planning system alor existing housing stock into AH use Policy LC2 of the Local Plan expect	ear up to 2035, or 3,440 dwellings over owledged that not all of that provision i ne. There are other ways affordable hou e).	s realistically deliverable or justified sing needs can be met (such as by bringing nore dwellings, or with a site area of 0.5
	Monitoring Report to assess delivery.		Years	AH Completions (net)	% of total Completions
			2014/15	129	49%
A5.			2015/16	165	38%
			2016/17	0	0%
			2017/18	96	24%
			2018/19	-17	-9%
			2019/20	91	21%
			2020/21	58	12%
			2021/22	111	20%
			2022/23	138	18%
			TOTAL		20%

PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT		
Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
		The table above shows an overall net gain of 771 affordable homes which equates to 20% of the total number of dwellings (3,787 net) delivered between 2014 and 2023. Since the plan was adopted there have been no schemes forthcoming in the higher value area, therefore the evidence indicates that the policies are currently on track
	Disagree	ii) <u>Specialist Housing</u>
	Disagree	The 2017 SHMA identified a need for 61 specialist housing units for older people per annum, or 1,220 dwellings over the plan period; and 23 registered care bed-spaces per annum, or 460 bed-spaces over the plan period.
		The AMR 2022/23 indicates that overall, since 2014, there have been 90 housing with care completions, 39 new nursing and residential care bed-spaces for older people, 22 new nursing and residential care bed-spaces for younger people or people with learning disabilities, 20 accessible and adaptable homes completions and 6 wheelchair adaptable home completions.
		here is a limited number of specialist homes being built in the district and there remains significant unmet need of housing for older and disabled people. In order to meet future demand there may be need for a stronger policy approach to the delivery of specialist housing in any update of the Local Plan.
	Disagree	iii) <u>Self and Custom Build Homes</u>
	Disagree	At 30 October 2022, the demand for self and custom build housing was evident through 124 entries on the Council's Self and Custom Build Register.
		Latest monitoring shows that whilst there is slight shortfall of 8 Self and Custom Dwellings to meet demand as at October 2022, this would increase further without the supply of any further Self and Custom Build dwellings. The Council is working to identify suitable Council owned sites for self and custom build housing. However, in order to meet future demand there may be a need for a stronger policy approach to the delivery of Self and Custom Build dwellings in any update of the Local Plan.
		iv) <u>Floorspace targets / jobs growth</u>
	Agree	Policy SS2 of the Local Plan sets out a requirement for 43 hectares of employment land over the plan period. This was based upon forecasts of job growth under the Regeneration scenario in the 2017 Employment Land Review.
		At 31 March 2023, the supply of new employment land in the District is approximately 57 hectares i.e. 41.17 hectares on sites allocated in the Local Plan, 11.05 hectares already built and a further 5.01 hectares of employment

	PAS LO	CAL PLAN I	ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
			land which remains part of the supply due to losses being lower than predicted in the Employment Land Review evidence base. Notably the supply includes approximately 15 hectares of employment land at the Coalite Priority Regeneration Area which was not relied upon to meet the Plan's employment land requirements, but which is now expected to come forward for employment development within the plan period.
			There is therefore no shortfall of employment land to trigger a review of the Local Plan now.
		Agree	v) <u>Retail and social infrastructure floorspace</u>
			The Local Plan encourages the regeneration and enhancement of the District's town centres, as well as maintaining and enhancing the level of service provision in the District's villages. There should be no net loss in retail floorspace and social infrastructure within Town Centre boundaries.
			The AMR 2022/23 shows that there has been no overall net loss of retail and infrastructure floor space since monitoring of this commenced in 2018.
	There have been no significant changes in economic conditions	Disagree	Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources):
	which could challenge the		No significant employers have recently shut down or moved out of the area.
	delivery of the Plan, including the policy requirements within it.		However, the latest available evidence on current economic conditions and jobs growth forecasts is set out in the Employment Land Review Updates, Final Report, 2017 and the Economic Growth Analysis, 2018. Both these studies were prepared prior to the UK exiting the EU, Covid-19 and the recent cost of living crisis including rising energy
	PROMPT:		prices. The implications of these events on anticipated future employment land requirements is not known and will need to be considered in detail through a new Economic Needs Assessment.
A6.	A key employer has shut down or relocated out of the area.		
	Unforeseen events (for example the Covid-19 Pandemic) are impacting upon the delivery of the plan.		
	Up-to-date evidence suggests that jobs growth is likely to be		

Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
significantly more or less than is currently being planned for. Consider if there is any evidence suggesting that large employment allocations will no longer be required or are no longer likely to be delivered. You will need to consider whether such events impact on assumptions in your adopted local plan which have led to a higher housing requirement than your local housing need assessment indicates. Consider what the consequences could be for your local plan objectives such as the balance of in and out	Disagree	
commuting and the resultant impact on proposed transport infrastructure provision (both capacity and viability), air quality or climate change considerations.		

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT			
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement	
A7.	Matters to considerThere have been no significant changes affecting viability of planned development.PROMPT:You may wish to look at the Building Cost Information 		Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): The delivery of housing is coming forward as planned and there is no current evidence to suggest that affordable housing or other policy requirements are generally a barrier to development viability or act as a deterrent to planning applications being submitted. Policy LC2 of the Adopted Local Plan requires all new housing proposals of 10 or more dwellings, or with a site area of 0.5 hectares or more, to provide a proportion of on-site affordable housing. The proportion is 30% within the defined high value area, and 20% in the remaining area of the District. Since the Plan's adoption no major applications have been forthcoming within the high value area. However, within the remaining area of the district there have been 8 permissions granted for major housing development since the Plan was adopted, albeit some of which are applications for reserved matters. In 6 out of 8 of the schemes the 20% affordable housing requirement was met and S106 contributions secured towards all relevant social mitigation measures. Following an independent viability review of the other 2 schemes it was agreed they would only be viable with a lower level of affordable housing and reduced S106 contributions pot. In terms of commercial development there has been recent examples of speculative employment development coming forward at Coney Green, Clay Cross and at Callywhite Lane, Dronfield. New discount stores in Clay Cross, Eckington and Dronfield have been brought forward and / or are planned to expand their retail offer.	
	Ongoing consultation and engagement with the development industry may highlight any significant challenges to delivery arising from changes in the economic climate.			

Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
 Key site allocations are delivering, or on course to deliver, in accordance the local plan policies meaning that the delivery of the spatial strategy is not at risk. PROMPT: Identify which sites are central to the delivery of your spatial strategy. Consider if there is evidence to suggest that lack of progress on these sites (individually or collectively) may prejudice the delivery of housing numbers, key infrastructure or other spatial priorities. Sites may be deemed to be key by virtue of their scale, location or type in addition to the role that may have in delivering any associated infrastructure. 	Partially disagree	 Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): Most of the Local Plan housing allocations have been developed as predicted, are under construction, or have planning permission. In terms of the strategic mixed-use allocations at The Avenue, and the former Biwaters site both of these sites are delivering market and affordable homes to contribute towards meeting the district's identified housing requirements, albeit at a lower dwelling yield than predicted at the former Biwaters site. The comprehensive development of the Avenue site is dependent upon a second principal access to the site. Other non-strategic allocation sites are also delivering housing that has contributed towards a completion rate over the last couple of years well in excess of the Local Plan's housing target of 330 dwellings per annum. In terms of employment land provision, no proposals for employment development have yet been submitted at the Avenue strategic site, whilst at Biwaters there is a planning application pending to reduce the level of employment land provision from that granted under the outline consent and allocated in the Plan. The lack of delivery of employment development on the Avenue and Biwaters strategic site allocations is a matter of some concern. Although given the relatively small scale of the employment targets for these sites, the concern is in the context of the local employment market and seeking to secure a sustainable mix of uses on site; rather than concern over the delivery of employment land is a strategic context. Furthermore, additional land has recently come forward at the Principal Regeneration Area: former Coalite Chemical Works site with a recent planning permission for two large industrial units c.15ha. This land was not relied upon to meet the Plan's housing and employment land requirements due to significant uncertainties over delivery arising from the Government's proposals for HS2 cutting through the eastern part of the sit

	PAS LO	CAL PLAN F	ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT
Matte	rs to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
A9. A9. A9. A9. A9. A9. A9. Consid been a which designa Manag would signific designa implica approa PROMI You ma indicat associa Sustair Strateg Assess Regula Identifi change includi the effi Consid been a which designa implicat approa Sustair Strateg Assess Regula Consid been a which designa implicat associa Sustair Strateg Assess Regula Assess Manag would signific designa	ay wish to review the ors or monitoring hed with your hability Appraisal (SA) / gic Environmental ment (SEA) / Habitats tions Assessment (HRA). y if there have been any es in Flood Risk Zones, ng as a result of assessing ects of climate change. er whether there have ny changes in air quality has resulted in the ation of an Air Quality tement Area(s) or which could result in a likely ant effect on a European ated site which could to n the ability to deliver g or employment	Agree	 Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): North East Derbyshire declared a climate emergency and adopted its Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan in 2019 after the Local Plan was submitted for examination. Whilst the current Local Plan includes policies to address climate change an update of the Local Plan would provide the opportunity to more fully address the climate change agenda. The recently enacted Environment Bill 2021 introduces a mandatory requirement for development to deliver biodiversity net gain. Whilst the current Local Plan seeks to increase the quantity and quality of biodiversity and geodiversity through Policy SCD4, it would be useful to update the Local Plan in the light of the new BNG requirements. In particular, there will be the opportunity to incorporate local strategy and priorities to drive nature's recovery and provide wider environmental benefits in North East Derbyshire, once the Derbyshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy is in place. The threat of air pollution at the Peak District Dales SAC: South Pennine Moors SAC: and Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA was a cross boundary issue raised in preparing the current Local Plan. The Council along with other neighbouring authorities assessed impacts and these were recorded in the respective Habitats Regulation Assessment documents. These assessments concluded that taking into account the mitigation measures within the respective Local Plans for Bolsover District, Chesterfield Borough, and North East Derbyshire Hore would be no adverse effects on the integrity of any of the European sites, alone or in combination with other plans and projects. However, through the DtC and in consultation with Natural England it was agreed that further monitoring of the impacts on the Peak District Dales SAC: South Pennine Moors SAC: and Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) SPA should be carried out by jointly re-running the traffic modelling in 3-

Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
Consider whether there have been any changes to Zones of Influence / Impact Risk Zones for European sites and Sites of Special Scientific Interest or new issues in relation to, for example, water quality.		N/A
Consider whether there have been any new environmental or heritage designations which could impact on the delivery of housing or employment / jobs requirements / targets.		N/A
Consider any relevant concerns being raised by statutory consultees in your area in relation to the determination of individual planning applications or planning appeals which may impact upon your plan - either now or in the future.		N/A

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT						
	Matters to consider Agree / Disagree Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement						
A10.	No new sites have become available since the finalisation of the adopted local plan which require the spatial strategy to be re-evaluated. PROMPT: Consider if there have been any new sites that have become available, particularly those within public ownership which, if they were to come forward for development, could have an impact on the spatial strategy or could result in loss of employment and would have a significant effect on the quality of place if no new use were found for them. Consider whether any sites which have now become available within your area or neighbouring areas could contribute towards meeting any previously identified unmet needs.	Agree	Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): No new strategic scale sites, either in public or private ownership, have become available that would suggest that the Local Plan strategy requires a review on this basis.				

PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT					
	Matters to consider Agree / Disagree Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement				
A11.	Key planned infrastructure projects critical to plan delivery are on track and have not stalled / failed and there are no new major infrastructure programmes with implications for the growth / spatial strategy set out in the plan. PROMPT: You may wish to review your Infrastructure Delivery Plan / Infrastructure Funding Statement, along with any periodic updates, the Capital and Investment programmes of your authority or infrastructure delivery partners and any other tool used to monitor and prioritise the need and delivery of infrastructure to support development. Check if there have been any delays in the delivery of critical infrastructure as a result of other processes such as for the Compulsory Purchase of necessary land.	Agree	 Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): To assist the delivery of the Spatial Strategy in Policy SS2 of the Local Plan, the Plan allocates strategic sites which are considered critical to achieving the Plan's strategy, namely at the Avenue, Wingerworth; former Biwaters Site, Clay Cross, and Markham Vale, Long Duckmanton. Local transport infrastructure improvements integral to the development of these strategic sites including new roundabouts and highway junctions have already been delivered to enable development. Land for education and community use forms part of the approved masterplan for the Avenue site, and a reserved matters application for a new primary school is expected to be submitted in due course. Planning permissions for a new roundabout junction on the A61 and a new spine road to provide a second principal access to the Avenue strategic site were granted, but have now lapsed. Alternative highway options are currently being explored which is causing some delay to the delivery of further housing on part of the site. Land adjacent Callywhite Lane industrial Estate, Dronfield, and the former Coalite Works, Long Duckmanton are identified as Priority Regeneration Areas (although these are not relied upon to meet the Plan's development development i.e. large scale logistics and is now expected to be delivered during the plan period. But issues over access to the land adjacent Callywhite Lane remains and is dependent upon the electrification of the Midland Main Line – see below. There are no new major strategic infrastructure programmes with implications for the delivery of the Plan's strategy. In terms of HS2, the Government has confirmed that following on from the work done on strategic alternatives to the Eastern Leg for the Integrated Rail Plan a new 'HS2 to Leeds Study' will be carried out to assess the different options for HS2 services to Leeds. Safeguarding on the full Eastern leg will remain un		

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT			
	Matters to consider Agree / Disagree Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement			
	Identify whether any funding announcements or decisions have been made which materially impact upon the delivery of key planned infrastructure, and if so, will this impact upon the delivery of the Local Plan.		 There are also unresolved issues with highway access across the railway line to the Dronfield Regeneration Area which are associated with the options for HS2. Development of this site is therefore still only likely towards or beyond the end of the plan period. In March 2021 the town of Clay Cross was awarded £24.1M as part of the Government's Towns Fund. The funding will enable several regeneration projects in the town. This includes the Clay Cross Connections project to look at various transport and connections improvements such as converting the one-way section of Market Street from Bridge Street to High Street into a pedestrian zone. In addition, a feasibility study into the potential to create a railway station at Clay Cross has been completed which confirms the strategic viability of the proposal. The projects generally align with the Plan's vision to regenerate areas in and around Clay Cross town centre, but may benefit from stronger support through specific policies in the update of the Local Plan. 	
A12.	All policies in the plan are achievable and effective including for the purpose of decision-making. PROMPT: Consider if these are strategic policies or those, such as Development Management policies, which do not necessarily go to the heart of delivering the Plan's strategy. Identify if there has been a significant increase in appeals that have been allowed and /or appeals related to a specific policy area that suggest a policy or policies should be reviewed.	Agree	Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): The policies in the Local Plan are generally considered to be working well with no significant issues in relation to applications or appeals. However, there are some policies which may be able to work more effectively if amended, this includes feedback received from colleagues in Development Management. Policies SS7 - SS9 (SDLs and Countryside) should be reviewed to ensure they remain fit for purpose. There is also a need to revisit the development categories listed in Policy SS9 to ensure compatibility with the NPPF and other policies within the plan. In addition there has been some feedback from colleagues in Development Management over the implementation of Policy SS9 – Development in the Countryside and interpretation of whether the list of development types suitable for a countryside location is an open or closed list. Policies WC2 and WC3 will need to be reviewed to clarify the reference to the term 'ancillary to the main use on site'; in particular whether the entire site is referred to, or the specific plot. Policy WC4 will need to be reviewed due to changes in permitted development rights since the submission of the draft Local Plan to the Secretary of State in May 2018. The current permitted development rights would allow some changes of use from town centre uses to other uses, which are not compatible with policy WC4. The Government published further proposed changes for consultation in July 2023. Policy LC5 will need to be reviewed to consider whether any outbuildings should be located within the curtilage of the main dwellinghouse. An Inspector's appeal decision at Carr Barn Farm, Wessington found that there was no	

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT				
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement		
	Consider whether there has been feedback from Development Management colleagues, members of the planning committee, or applicants that policies cannot be effectively applied and / or understood.		requirement under the policy for an extension or alteration to dwellings or outbuildings to be within the curtilage of the property. Provided that such extensions, alterations or outbuildings were ancillary to the main residential use they saw no reason why they could not be out-with of a curtilage yet remain compliant with the policy. Officers believe that was not the intention of the policy. None of the above policies are critical strategic policies that go to the heart of delivering the plan's strategy.		
A13.	 There are no recent or forthcoming changes to another authority's development plan or planning context which would have a material impact on your plan / planning context for the area covered by your local plan. PROMPT: In making this assessment you may wish to: Review emerging and adopted neighbouring authority development plans and their planning context. Review any emerging and adopted higher level strategic plans including, where relevant, mayoral/ combined authority Spatial 	Agree	 Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): North East Derbyshire wraps around Chesterfield and adjoins five other local authorities' areas comprising Amber Valley to the south, Derbyshire Dales to the west, Bolsover to the east, and Sheffield and Rotherham to the north. Under the Duty to Cooperate, on-going engagement has taken place with neighbouring authorities in relation to their own plan preparation. At the current time there are no outstanding requests for North East Derbyshire to meet any unmet housing or employment needs arising in neighbouring areas. No other significant issues have arisen that would materially impact on the North East Derbyshire Local Plan. Sheffield City Council , whilst preparing its Local Plan had asked NEDDC if it could assist in meeting any of Sheffield's housing needs. However, the Sheffield Plan has since been submitted for Examination and confirms that the City is not relying on other local authorities in the City Region to meet any of its housing needs. We will however continue to monitor the progress of emerging Local Plans in neighbouring authorities' areas and engage with our neighbours, under the requirements of the duty to co-operate, in relation to any matters of a strategic and/or cross-boundary nature. 		

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT			
	Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement	
	 Development Strategies e.g. The London Plan. Review any relevant neighbourhood plans Consider whether any of the matters highlighted in statements A1- A12 for their plan may impact on your plan - discuss this with the relevant authorities. Consider any key topic areas or requests that have arisen through Duty to Cooperate or strategic planning discussions with your neighbours or stakeholders - particularly relating to meeting future development and /or infrastructure needs 			
A14.	There are no local political changes or a revised / new corporate strategy which would require a change to the approach set out in the current plan. PROMPT: In making this assessment you may wish to:	Disagree	 Reason (with reference to plan policies, sections and relevant evidence sources): The Council has recently produced a new Council Plan for 2023-2027. It sets out 9 key aims under 4 main themes as follows: <u>A great place to live well</u> A community with lifelong good health A place to live that people value A place where people enjoy spending time <u>A great place to work</u> 	

PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT					
Matters to consider	Agree / Disagree	Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement			
 Review any manifesto commitments and review the corporate and business plan. Engage with your senior management team and undertake appropriate engagement with senior politicians in your authority. Consider other plans or strategies being produced across the Council or by partners which may impact on the appropriateness of your current plan and the strategy that underpins it, for instance, Growth Deals, economic growth plans, local industrial strategies produced by the Local Economic Partnership, housing/ regeneration strategies and so on. 		 A community with growing commutable employment opportunities A community with a diverse range of commutable employment that matches the skills of residents A great place to access good public services Continually improve services to deliver excellence and value for money Assist and influence other public partners to improve their services in the District A great place that cares for the environment Increase biodiversity across the District Reduce pollution across the District The aims of the Council Plan are compatible with the Adopted Local Plan's vision and objectives, although there are actions for planning that could provide the impetus for additional targeted policies in the Local Plan, e.g. in relation to biodiversity. In addition, in December 2022 the Council adopted the North East Derbyshire Climate Change Strategy 2022-2030, which sets out the following priorities for planning: Work to develop appropriate guidance, policy and frameworks to ensure that planning measures for net zero buildings are integrated into the Local Plan and wider planning activities; Use the development planning process to influence the development of better public transport and cycling routes and look for opportunities to introduce initiatives to promote uptake of low carbon vehicles; Work with our neighbouring authorities to develop Countywide planning policies to promote low carbon lifestyles. A review of the Plan would be desirable to enable early delivery of these policies. As part of the Governments found, Clay Cross has been successful in securing £24.1M to deliver vision of the Clay Cross Town Investment Plan. The Town Investment Plan is foccussed on			

	PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 1: LOCAL PLAN REVIEW ASSESSMENT		
	Matters to consider Agree / Disagree Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement		Extent to which the local plan meets this requirement
iii. Skills and Enterprise; iv. Health, Wellbeing and Leisure; and v. Clean Growth. These themes are aligned with the policy objectives set out in the Adopted Local Plan.			

	ASSESSING WHETHER OR NOT TO UPDATE YOUR PLAN	YES/NO	
	POLICIES	(please	
		indicate	
		below)	
	You AGREE with <u>all</u> of the statements above	NO	If no go to question A16.
A15.			If yes, you have come to the end of the assessment. However, you must be confident that you are able to demonstrate and fully justify that your existing plan policies / planning position clearly meets the requirements in the statements above and that you have evidence to support your position. Based on the answers you have given above please provide clear explanation and justification in section A17 below of why you have concluded that an update is not necessary including references to evidence or data sources that you have referenced above. Remember you are required to publish the decision not to update your local plan policies. In reaching the conclusion that an update is not necessary the explanation and justification for your decision must be clear, intelligible and able to withstand scrutiny.
A16.	You DISAGREE with one or more of the statements above and the issue can be addressed by an update of local plan policies.	YES	If yes, based on the above provide a summary of the key reasons <u>why</u> an update to plan policies is necessary in section A17 below and complete Section B below.

A17.	Decision: Reasons for decision on whether or not to update plan policies (clear evidence and justification will be required where a decision not to update has been reached): XXXXXXXX Other actions that may be required in addition to or in place of an update of plan policies: XXXXXXX

